

REMARKS

This paper is responsive to the Final Office Action dated March 6, 2007 (the “Final Office Action”) and to the Advisory Action dated May 25, 2007 (the “Advisory Action”).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 16-19, 22, 26, 33, 34, and 38-44 were previously pending, with claim 44 withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1, 18, 19, 22, 26, 33, and 34 have been amended in this paper. Claim 44 has been canceled and new claims 45-53 have been added in this paper.

Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 16-19, 22, 26, 33, 34, 38-43, and 45-53 are now pending in the application.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 16-19, 22, 26, 33, 34, and 38-43 stand rejected.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 16-19, 22, 26, 33, 34, and 38-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,442,516 issued to Lee et al. (“Lee”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,425,123 issued to Rojas et al. (“Rojas”) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,416,903 issued to Malcolm (“Malcolm”).

The amendments add no new matter. Support for the amendments may be found, for example, in FIG. 1 as originally filed and in the Specification as originally filed on pp. 7, 8, 16-18, and 25, among others. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are patentable and respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending rejections and objections in view of the amendments and remarks presented herein.

Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 16-19, 22, 26, 33, 34, and 38-43 stand rejected under § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Rojas and further in view of Malcolm. While not conceding that the cited references qualify as prior art, but instead to expedite prosecution, Applicant has chosen respectfully to address the rejections as follows. Applicant reserves the right, for example in a continuing application, to establish that the cited reference does not qualify as prior art as to an invention embodiment previously, currently, or subsequently claimed.

Applicant's independent claims 1, 18, and 34 have been amended. Applicant respectfully submits that the amended claims include limitations that are not disclosed within the cited sections of the references. For example, amended independent claim 1 includes developing a base version of the application in a base language and facilitating an internationalization of the base version of the application. The developing the base version of the application includes developing a plurality of stages of the base version of the application, and the internationalization of the base version of the application includes an internationalization of a first stage among the plurality of stages concurrently with the developing of a second stage among the plurality of stages.

Applicant respectfully submits that at least the above-noted limitations of amended claim 1 are absent from the cited sections of the references, and that claim 1 and all claims dependent therefrom are therefore allowable under § 103(a). At least for similar reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 18 and 34 and all claims dependent therefrom are also allowable under § 103(a).

CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks set forth herein, the application and the claims therein are believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 512-439-5093.

If any extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are required in order for this submission to be considered timely, Applicant hereby petitions for such extensions. The undersigned also hereby authorizes that any fees due for such extensions or any other fee associated with this submission be charged to deposit account 502306.

Respectfully submitted,

 2007 AUG 6

Cyrus F. Bharucha
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 42,324
Telephone: (512) 439-5097
Facsimile: (512) 439-5099